THE NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC MAGAZINE

Vol. XIV JUNE, 1903 No. 6

CONTENTS

PAGE

THE TETRAHEDRAL PRINCIPLE IN KITE STRUCTURE, BY ALEXANDER GRAHAM BELL. ILLUSTRATED . . . 218

APPENDIX OF SEVENTY ILLUSTRATIONS OF KITES AND STRUCTURES USED BY ALEXANDER GRAHAM BELL . . 231

NOTES ON THE PRECEDING ILLUSTRATIONS. BY ALEXANDER GRAHAM BELL. 248

MR. ZIEGLER AND THE NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SOCIETY 251

EXPLORATIONS IN ALASKA, 1903 255

GOLD DISCOVERIES IN ALASKA. ILLUSTRATED . . . 257

DECISIONS OF BOARD ON GEOGRAPHIC NAMES . . . 258

MR. FOSTER'S "AMERICAN DIPLOMACY IN THE ORIENT" . 259

Published by the National Geographic Society,
Corcoran Building, Washington, D. C.

$2.50 a Year REPRINT 25 Cents a Number

Entered at the Post-office in Washington, D. C., as Second-class Mail Matter.

YUM

THE NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC MAGAZINE

AN ILLUSTRATED MONTHLY, published by the National Geographic Society, at Washington, D. C. All editorial communications should be addressed to the Editor of the National Geographic Magazine, Corcoran Building, Washington, D. C. Business communications should be addressed to the National Geographic Society, Corcoran Building, Washington, D. C.

25 CENTS A NUMBER; $2.50 A YEAR

Editor: GILBERT H. GROSVENOR

Associate Editors

GENERAL A. W. GREELY
Chief Signal Officer, U. S. Army

W J McGEE
Ethnologist in Charge, Bureau of American Ethnology

C. HART MERRIAM
Chief of the Biological Survey, U. S. Department of Agriculture

ELIZA RUHAMAH SCIDMORE
Author of "Java, the Garden of the East," etc.

MARCUS BAKER
Carnegie Institution

WILLIS L. MOORE
Chief of the Weather Bureau, U. S. Department of Agriculture

O. H. TITTMANN
Superintendent of the U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey

O. P. AUSTIN
Chief of the Bureau of Statistics, U. S. Treasury Department

DAVID T. DAY
Chief of the Division of Mineral Resources, U. S. Geological Survey

IDA M. TARBELL
Author of "Life of Napoleon," "Life of Lincoln," etc.

CARL LOUISE GARRISON
Principal of Phelps School, Washington, D. C.

WASHINGTON, D. C.

VOL. XIV, NO. 6 WASHINGTON JUNE, 1903

THE NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC MAGAZINE

THE TETRAHEDRAL PRINCIPLE IN KITE STRUCTURE*

BY ALEXANDER GRAHAM BELL

PRESIDENT OF THE NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SOCIETY

Copyright, 1903, by the National Geographic Magazine

IN 1899, at the April meeting, I made a communication to the Academy upon the subject of "Kites with Radial Wings;" and some of the illustrations shown to the Academy at that time were afterwards published in the *Monthly Weather Review*.†

Since then I have been continuously at work upon experiments relating to kites. Why, I do not know, excepting perhaps because of the intimate connection of the subject with the flying-machine problem.

We are all of us interested in aerial locomotion; and I am sure that no one who has observed with attention the flight of birds can doubt for one moment the possibility of aerial flight by bodies specifically heavier than the air. In the words of an old writer, "We cannot consider as impossible that which has already been accomplished."

I have had the feeling that a properly constructed flying-machine should be capable of being flown as a kite; and, conversely, that a properly constructed kite should be capable of use as a flying-machine when driven by its own propellers. I am not so sure, however, of the truth of the former proposition as I am of the latter.

Given a kite, so shaped as to be suitable for the body of a flying-machine, and so efficient that it will fly well in a good breeze (say 20 miles an hour) when loaded with a weight equivalent to that of a man and engine; then it seems to me that this same kite, provided with an actual engine and man in place of the load, and driven by its own propellers at the rate of 20 miles an hour, should be sustained in calm air as a flying-machine. So far as the pressure of the air is concerned, it is surely immaterial whether the air moves against the kite, or the kite against the air.

*A communication made to the National Academy of Sciences in Washington, D. C., April 23, 1903, revised for publication in the NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC MAGAZINE.

†See *Monthly Weather Review*, April, 1899, vol. xxvii, pp. 154–155, and plate xi

Of course in other respects the two cases are not identical. A kite sustained by a 20-mile breeze possesses no momentum, or rather its momentum is equal to zero, because it is stationary in the air and has no motion proper of its own; but the momentum of a heavy body propelled at 20 miles an hour through still air is very considerable. Momentum certainly aids flight, and it may even be a source of support against gravity quite independently of the pressure of the air. It is perfectly possible, therefore, that an apparatus may prove to be efficient as a flying-machine which cannot be flown as a kite on account of the absence of *vis viva*.

However this may be, the applicability of kite experiments to the flying-machine problem has for a long time past been the guiding thought in my researches.

I have not cared to ascertain how high a kite may be flown or to make one fly at any very great altitude. The point I have had specially in mind is this: That the equilibrium of the structure in the air should be perfect; that the kite should fly steadily, and not move about from side to side or dive suddenly when struck by a squall, and that when released it should drop slowly and gently to the ground without material oscillation. I have also considered it important that the framework should possess great strength with little weight.

I believe that in the form of structure now attained the properties of strength, lightness, and steady flight have been united in a remarkable degree.

In my younger days the word "kite" suggested a structure of wood in the form of a cross covered with paper forming a diamond-shaped surface longer one way than the other, and provided with a long tail composed of a string with numerous pieces of paper tied at intervals upon it. Such a kite is simply a toy. In Europe and America, where kites of this type prevailed, kite-flying was pursued only as an amusement for children, and the improvement of the form of structure was hardly considered a suitable subject of thought for a scientific man.

In Asia kite-flying has been for centuries the amusement of adults, and the Chinese, Japanese, and Malays have developed tailless kites very much superior to any form of kite known to us until quite recently.

It is only within the last few years that improvements in kite structure have been seriously considered, and the recent developments in the art have been largely due to the efforts of one man—Mr Laurence Hargrave, of Australia.

Hargrave realized that the structure best adapted for what is called a "good kite" would also be suitable as the basis for the structure of a flying-machine. His researches, published by the Royal Society of New South Wales, have attracted the attention of the world, and form the starting point for modern researches upon the subject in Europe and America.

Anything relating to aerial locomotion has an interest to very many minds, and scientific kite-flying has everywhere been stimulated by Hargrave's experiments.

In America, however, the chief stimulus to scientific kite-flying has been the fact developed by the United States Weather Bureau, that important information could be obtained concerning weather conditions if kites could be constructed capable of lifting meteorological instruments to a great elevation in the free air. Mr Eddy and others in America have taken the Malay tailless kite as a basis for their experiments, but Professor Marvin, of the United States Weather Bureau; Mr Rotch, of the Blue Hill Observatory, and many others have adapted Hargrave's box kite for the purpose.

Congress has made appropriations to the Weather Bureau in aid of its kite experiments, and a number of meteorological stations throughout the United

States were established a few years ago equipped with the Marvin kite.

Continuous meteorological observations at a great elevation have been made at the Blue Hill Observatory in Massachusetts, and Mr Rotch has demonstrated the possibility of towing kites at sea by means of steam vessels so as to secure a continuous line of observations all the way across the Atlantic.

HARGRAVE'S BOX KITE

Hargrave introduced what is known as the "cellular construction of kites." He constructed kites composed of many cells, but found no substantial improvement in many cells over two alone ; and a kite composed of two rectangular cells



FIG. 1—HARGRAVE BOX KITE

separated by a considerable space is now universally known as "the Hargave box kite." This represents, in my opinion, the high-water mark of progress in the nineteenth century ; and this form of kite forms the starting point for my own researches (Fig. 1).

The front and rear cells are connected together by a framework, so that a considerable space is left between them. This space is an essential feature of the kite : upon it depends the fore and aft stability of the kite. The greater the space, the more stable is the equilibrium of the kite in a fore and aft direction, the more it tends to assume a horizontal position in the air, and the less it tends to dive or pitch like a vessel in a rough sea. Pitching motions or oscillations are almost entirely suppressed when the space between the cells is large.

Each cell is provided with vertical sides ; and these again seem to be essential elements of the kite contributing to lateral stability. The greater the extent of the vertical sides, the greater is the stability in the lateral direction, and the less tendency has the kite to roll, or move from side to side, or turn over in the air.

In the foregoing drawing I have shown only necessary details of construction, with just sufficient framework to hold the cells together.

It is obvious that a kite constructed as shown in Fig. 1 is a very flimsy affair. It requires additions to the framework of various sorts to give it sufficient strength to hold the aeroplane surfaces in their proper relative positions and prevent distortion, or bending or twisting of the kite frame under the action of the wind.

Unfortunately the additions required to give rigidity to the framework all detract from the efficiency of the kite : First, by rendering the kite heavier, so that the ratio of weight to surface is increased ; and, secondly, by increasing the head resistance of the kite. The interior bracing advisable in order to preserve the cells from distortion comes in the way of the wind, thus adding to the *drift* of the kite without contributing to the *lift*.



FIG. 2

A rectangular cell like *A* (Fig. 2) is structurally weak, as can readily be demonstrated by the little force required to distort it into the form shown at *B*. In order to remedy this weakness, internal bracing is advisable of the character shown at *C*.

This internal bracing, even if made of the finest wire, so as to be insignificant in weight, all comes in the way of the

wind, increasing the head resistance without counterbalancing advantages.

TRIANGULAR CELLS IN KITE CONSTRUCTION

In looking back over the line of experiments in my own laboratory, I recognize that the adoption of a triangular cell was a step in advance, constituting indeed one of the milestones of progress, one of the points that stand out clearly against the hazy background of multitudinous details.

The following (Fig 3) is a drawing of a typical triangular-celled kite made upon the same general model as the Hargrave box kite shown in Fig. 1.

A triangle is by its very structure perfectly braced in its own plane, and in a triangular-celled kite like that shown in Fig. 3, internal bracing of any



FIG. 3

character is unnecessary to prevent distortion of a kind analogous to that referred to above in the case of the Hargrave rectangular cell (Fig. 2).

The lifting power of such a triangular cell is probably less than that of a rectangular cell, but the enormous gain in structural strength, together with the reduction of head resistance and weight due to the omission of internal bracing, counterbalances any possible deficiency in this respect.

The horizontal surfaces of a kite are those that resist descent under the influence of gravity, and the vertical surfaces prevent it from turning over in the air. Oblique aeroplanes may therefore conveniently be resolved into horizontal and vertical equivalents, that is, into supporting surfaces and steadying surfaces.

The oblique aeroplane *A*, for example (Fig. 4), may be considered as equivalent in function to the two aeroplanes *B* and *C*. The material composing the aeroplane *A*, however, *weighs less* than the material required to form the two aeroplanes *B* and *C*, and the frame-



FIG. 4

work required to support the aeroplane *A* weighs less than the two frameworks required to support *B* and *C*.

In the triangular cell shown in Fig. 5, the oblique surfaces *ab*, *bc*, are equivalent in function to the three surfaces *ad*, *de*, *ec*, but weigh less. The oblique surfaces are therefore advantageous.

The only disadvantage in the whole arrangement is that the air has not as free access to the upper aeroplane *ac*, in the triangular form of cell as in the quadrangular form, so that the aeroplane



FIG. 5

ac is not as efficient in the former construction as in the latter.

While theoretically the triangular cell is inferior in lifting power to Hargrave's four-sided rectangular cell, practically there is no substantial difference. So far as I can judge from observation in the field, kites constructed on the same

general model as the Hargrave Box Kite, but with triangular cells instead of quadrangular, seem to fly as well as the ordinary Hargrave form, and at as high an angle.

Such kites are therefore superior, for they fly substantially as well, while at the same time they are stronger in construction, lighter in weight, and offer less head resistance to the wind.



PERSPECTIVE VIEW END VIEW

FIG. 6—COMPOUND TRIANGULAR KITE

Triangular cells also are admirably adapted for combination into a compound structure, in which the aeroplane surfaces do not interfere with one another. For example, three triangular-celled kites, tied together at the corners, form a compound cellular kite (Fig. 6) which flies perfectly well.

The weight of the compound kite is the sum of the weights of the three kites of which it is composed, and the total aeroplane surface is the sum of the surfaces of the three kites. The ratio of weight to surface therefore is the same in the larger compound kite as in the smaller constituent kites, considered individually.

It is obvious that in compound kites of this character the doubling of the longitudinal sticks where the corners of adjoining kites come together is an unnecessary feature of the combination, for it is easy to construct the compound kite so that one longitudinal stick shall be substituted for the duplicated sticks.

For example: The compound kites *A* and *B* (Fig. 7) may be constructed, as shown at *C* and *D*, with advantage, for the weight of the compound kite is thus reduced without loss of structural strength. In this case the weight of the compound kite is *less* than the sum of the weights of the component kites,





FIG. 7

while the surface remains the same.

If kites could only be successfully compounded in this way indefinitely we would have the curious result that the ratio of weight to surface would

diminish with each increase in the size of the compound kite. Unfortunately, however, the conditions of stable flight demand a considerable space between the front and rear sets of cells (see Fig. 6); and if we increase the diameter



A



B

FIG. 8

of our compound structure without increasing the length of this space we injure the flying qualities of our kite. But every increase of this space in the fore and aft direction involves a corresponding increase in the length of the empty framework required to span it, thus adding dead load to the kite and increasing the ratio of weight to surface.

the character shown at *B* to prevent distortion under the action of the wind. The necessary bracing, however, not being in the way of the wind, does not materially affect the head resistance of the kite, and is only disadvantageous by adding dead load, thus increasing the ratio of weight to surface.

THE TETRAHEDRAL CONSTRUCTION OF KITES

Passing over in silence multitudinous experiments in kite construction carried on in my Nova Scotia laboratory, I come



A B

FIG. 9—A. A TRIANGULAR CELL
B. A WINGED TETRAHEDRAL CELL

to another conspicuous point of advance—another milestone of progress—the adoption of the triangular construction *in every direction* (longitudinally as well as transversely); and the clear realization of the fundamental importance of the skeleton of a tetrahedron, especially the regular tetrahedron, as



FIG. 10—WINGED TETRAHEDRAL CELLS

While kites with triangular cells are strong in a transverse direction (from side to side), they are structurally weak in the longitudinal direction (fore and aft), for in this direction the kite frames are rectangular.

Each side of the kite *A*, for example (Fig. 8), requires diagonal bracing of an element of the structure or framework of a kite or flying-machine.

Consider the case of an ordinary triangular cell *A* (Fig. 9) whose cross-section is triangular laterally, but quadrangular longitudinally.

If now we make the longitudinal as well as transverse cross-sections trian-

gular, we arrive at the form of cell shown at *B*, in which the framework forms the outline of a tetrahedron. In this case the aeroplanes are triangular, and the whole arrangement is strongly suggestive of a pair of birds' wings

FIG. 11—ONE-CELLED TETRAHEDRAL FRAME

raised at an angle and connected together tip to tip by a cross-bar (see *B*, Fig. 9; also drawings of winged tetrahedral cells in Fig. 10).

A tetrahedron is a form of solid bounded by four triangular surfaces.

In the regular tetrahedron the boundaries consist of four equilateral triangles and six equal edges. In the skeleton form the edges alone are represented, and the skeleton of a regular tetrahedron is produced by joining together six equal



FIG. 12—FOUR-CELLED TETRAHEDRAL FRAME

rods end to end so as to form four equilateral triangles.

Most of us no doubt are familiar with the common puzzle—how to make four triangles with six matches. Give six matches to a friend and ask him to arrange them so as to form four complete equilateral triangles. The difficulty lies in the unconcious assumption of the experimenter that the four triangles should all be in the same plane. The moment he realizes that they need not be in the same plane the solution of the problem becomes easy. Place three matches on the table so as to form a triangle, and stand the other three up over this like the three legs of a tripod stand. The matches then form the skeleton of a regular tetrahedron. (See figure 11.)

A framework formed upon this model of six equal rods fastened together at the ends constitutes a tetrahedral cell possessing the qualities of strength and lightness in an extraordinary degree.

It is not simply braced in two directions in space like a triangle, but in three directions like a solid. If I may coin a word, it possesses "*three-dimensional*" strength; not "two-dimensional" strength like a triangle, or "one-dimensional" strength like a rod. It is the skeleton of a solid, not of a surface or a line.



FIG. 13—SIXTEEN-CELLED TETRAHEDRAL FRAME

It is astonishing how solid such a framework appears even when composed of very light and fragile material; and compound structures formed by fastening these tetrahedral frames together at the corners so as to form the skeleton of a regular tetrahedron on a larger scale possess equal solidity.

Figure 12 shows a structure composed of four frames like figure 11, and figure 13 a structure of four frames like figure 12.

When a tetrahedral frame is provided with aero-surfaces of silk or other material suitably arranged, it becomes a tetra-

hedral kite, or kite having the form of a tetrahedron.

The kite shown in figure 14 is composed of four winged cells of the regular tetrahedron variety (see Fig. 10), connected together at the corners. Four kites like figure 14 are combined in figure 15, and four kites like figure 15 in figure 16 (at *D*).

Upon this mode of construction an empty space of octahedral form is left in the middle of the kite, which seems to have the same function as the space between the two cells of the Hargrave box kite. The tetrahedral kites that have the largest central spaces preserve their equilibrium best in the air.



FIG. 14—FOUR-CELLED TETRAHEDRAL KITE



FIG. 15—SIXTEEN-CELLED TETRAHEDRAL KITE

The most convenient place for the attachment of the flying cord is the extreme point of the bow. If the cord is attached to points successively further back on the keel, the flying cord makes a greater and greater angle with the horizon, and the kite flies more nearly overhead; but it is not advisable to carry the point of attachment as far back as the middle of the keel. A good place for high flights is a point half-way between the bow and the middle of the keel.

In the tetrahedral kites shown in the plate (Fig. 16) the compound structure has itself in each case the form of the regular tetrahedron, and there is no reason why this principle of combination should not be applied indefinitely so as to form still greater combinations.

The weight relatively to the wing-surface remains the same, however large the compound kite may be.

The four-celled kite *B*, for example, weighs four times as much as one cell and has four times as much wing-surface, the 16-celled kite *C* has sixteen times as much weight and sixteen times as much-wing surface, and the 64-celled kite *D* has sixty-four times as much weight and sixty-four times as much wing-surface. The ratio of weight to surface, therefore, is the same for the larger kites as for the smaller.

This, at first sight, appears to be somewhat inconsistent with certain mathematical conclusions announced by Prof. Simon Newcomb in an article entitled "Is the Air-ship Coming," published in *McClure's Magazine* for September, 1901—conclusions which led him to believe that "the construction of an aerial vehicle which could carry even a single man from place to place at pleasure requires the discovery of some new metal or some new force."

The process of reasoning by which Professor Newcomb arrived at this re-



FIG. 16—TETRAHEDRAL KITES

A. A WINGED TETRAHEDRAL CELL
B. A FOUR-CELLED TETRAHEDRAL KITE
C. A SIXTEEN-CELLED TETRAHEDRAL KITE
D. A SIXTY-FOUR-CELLED TETRAHEDRAL KITE

markable result is undoubtedly correct. His conclusion, however, is open to question, because he has drawn a general conclusion from restricted premises. He says:

"Let us make two flying-machines exactly alike, only make one on double the scale of the other in all its dimensions. We all know that the volume, and therefore the weight, of two similar bodies are proportional to the cubes of their dimensions. The cube of two is eight: hence the large machine will have eight times the weight of the other. But surfaces are as the squares of the dimensions. The square of two is four. The heavier machine will therefore expose only four times the wing surface to the air, and so will have a distinct disadvantage in the ratio of efficiency to weight."

a giant kite that should lift a man—upon the model of the Hargrave box kite. When the kite was constructed with two cells, each about the size of a small room, it was found that it would take a hurricane to raise it into the air. The kite proved to be not only incompetent to carry a load equivalent to the weight of a man, but it could not even raise *itself* in an ordinary breeze in which smaller kites upon the same model flew perfectly well. I have no doubt that other investigators also have fallen into the error of supposing that large structures would necessarily be capable of flight, because exact models of them,



FIG. 17—THE AERODROME KITE

Professor Newcomb shows that where two flying-machines—or kites, for that matter—are exactly alike, only differing in the scale of their dimensions, the ratio of weight to supporting surface is greater in the larger than the smaller, increasing with each increase of dimensions. From which he concludes that if we make our structure large enough it will be too heavy to fly.

This is certainly true, so far as it goes, and it accounts for my failure to make

made upon a smaller scale, have demonstrated their ability to sustain themselves in the air. Professor Newcomb has certainly conferred a benefit upon investigators by so clearly pointing out the fallacious nature of this assumption.

But Professor Newcomb's results are probably only true when restricted to his premises. For models *exactly alike, only differing in the scale of their dimensions*, his conclusions are undoubtedly sound; but where large kites are formed

by the multiplication of smaller kites into a cellular structure the results are very different. My own experiments with compound kites composed of triangular cells connected corner to corner have amply demonstrated the fact that the dimensions of such a kite may be increased to a very considerable extent without materially increasing the ratio of weight to supporting surface; and upon the tetrahedral plan (Fig. 16) the weight relatively to the wing-surface remains the same however large the compound kite may be.

The indefinite expansion of the triangular construction is limited by the fact that dead weight in the form of empty framework is necessary in the central space between the sets of cells (see Fig. 6), so that the necessary increase of this space when the dimensions of the compound kite are materially increased—in order to preserve the stability of the kite in the air—adds still more dead weight to the larger structures. Upon the tetrahedral plan illustrated in Figs. 14, 15, 16, no necessity exists for empty frameworks in the central spaces, for the mode of construction gives solidity without it.

Tetrahedral kites combine in a marked degree the qualities of strength, lightness, and steady flight; but further experiments are required before deciding that this form is the best for a kite, or that winged cells without horizontal aeroplanes constitute the best arrangement of aero-surfaces.

The tetrahedral principle enables us to construct out of light materials solid frameworks of almost any desired form, and the resulting structures are admirably adapted for the support of aero-surfaces of any desired kind, size, or shape (aeroplanes or aerocurves, etc., large or small).

In further illustration of the tetrahedral principle as applied to kite construction, I show in figure 17 a photograph of a kite which is not itself tetrahedral in form, but the framework of which is built up of tetrahedral cells.

This kite, although very different in construction and appearance from the Aerodrome of Professor Langley, which

FIG. 18—THE AERODROME KITE JUST RISING INTO THE AIR WHEN PULLED BY A HORSE

I saw in successful flight over the Potomac a few years ago, has yet a suggestiveness of the Aerodrome about it, and it was indeed Professor Langley's apparatus that led me to the conception of this form.

The wing surfaces consist of horizontal aeroplanes, with oblique steadying surfaces at the extremities. The body of the machine has the form of a boat, and the superstructure forming the support for the aeroplanes extends across the boat on either side at two points near the bow and stern. The

aeroplane surfaces form substantially two pairs of wings, arranged dragon-fly fashion.



FIG. 19—AERODROME KITE IN THE AIR

The whole framework for the boat and wings is formed of tetrahedral cells having the form of the regular tetrahedron, with the exception of the diagonal bracing at the bottom of the superstructure; and the kite turns out to be strong, light, and a steady flyer.

I have flown this kite in a calm by attaching the cord—in this case a Manila rope—to a galloping horse. Figure 18 shows a photograph of the kite just rising into the air, with the horse in the foreground, but the connecting rope does not show. Figure 19 is a photograph of the kite at its point of greatest elevation, but the horse does not appear in the picture. Upon releasing the rope the kite descended so gently that no damage was done to the apparatus by contact with the ground.

Figure 20 shows a modified form of the same kite, in which, in addition to the central boat, there were two side floats, thus adapting the whole structure to float upon water without upsetting.

An attempt which almost ended disastrously, was made to fly this kite in a good sailing breeze, but a squall struck it before it was let go. The kite went up, lifting the two men who held it off their feet. Of course they let go instantly, and the kite rose steadily in the air until the flying cord (a Manila rope



FIG. 20—FLOATING KITE

⅜ inch diameter) made an angle with the horizon of about 45° when the rope snapped under the strain.

Tremendous oscillations of a pitching character ensued; but the kite was at such an elevation when the accident happened, that the oscillations had time to die down before the kite reached the ground, when it landed safely upon even keel in an adjoining field and was found to be quite uninjured by its rough experience.

Kites of this type have a much greater lifting power than one would at first sight suppose. The natural assumption is that the winged superstructure alone supports the kite in the air, and that the boat body and floats represent mere dead-load and head resistance. But this is far from being the case. Boat-shaped bodies having a V-shaped cross-section are themselves capable of flight and expose considerable surface to the wind. I have successfully flown a boat of this kind as a kite without any superstructure whatever, and although it did not fly well, it certainly supported itself in the air, thus demonstrating the fact that the boat surface is an element of support in compound structures like those shown in figures 17 and 20.

Of course the use of a tetrahedral cell is not limited to the construction of a framework for kites and flying-machines. It is applicable to any kind of structure whatever in which it is desirable to combine the qualities of strength and lightness. Just as we can build houses of all kinds out of bricks, so we can build structures of all sorts out of tetrahedral frames, and the structures can be so formed as to possess the same qualities of strength and lightness which are characteristic of the individual cells. I have already built a house, a framework for a giant wind-break, three or four boats, as well as several forms of kites, out of these elements.

It is not my object in this communication to describe the experiments that have been made in my Nova Scotia laboratory; but simply to bring to your attention the importance of the tetrahedral principle in kite construction.

APPENDIX

Copyright, 1903, by the National Geographic Magazine

Through the courtesy of Dr Bell the NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC MAGAZINE is able to present as an appendix to this article a series of some seventy illustrations of experimental forms of kites and structures used by Dr Bell. The illustrations were selected by the editor from several hundred pictures in Dr Bell's notebooks. The pictures were taken and developed by Mr David George McCurdy, the photographer of his laboratory, with the exception of Plate III, which was taken by Mr F. Tracy Hubbard. The notes explaining the illustrations were written by Dr Bell by request.



PLATE I



PLATE II



PLATE III



PLATE IV

PLATE V

PLATE VI

PLATE VII



PLATE VIII



PLATE IX



PLATE X



PLATE XI



PLATE XII



PLATE XIII



PLATE XIV







PLATE XV



PLATE XVI

NOTES ON THE PRECEDING ILLUSTRATIONS

BY ALEXANDER GRAHAM BELL

Copyright, 1903, by the National Geographic Magazine

Plate I.—1. Cellular framework for body of Multicellular Giant Kite. Although not built up of separate individual cells, the frame is composed *essentially* of nine tetrahedral cells connected together, corner to corner, at the tops, and held in position below by means of two parallel sledge runners braced diagonally with wire. Total length, nine meters (29½ feet). The diagonal wires do not show in the picture, and it may be possible that the photograph was taken before the rectangular part of the structure was braced.

2. Cellular framework shown in No. 1 provided with two covered cells to convert it from mere dead weight to be carried by the superstructure into a real flying structure by itself.

3. Cellular framework shown in No. 2 supported in the air as a kite without any superstructure whatever. It is flying by a rope attached to the front cell and has also a stern line to facilitate landing.

4. One of the individual kites forming the cellular unit or element of the superstructure of the Multicellular Giant Kite (formed of two triangular kites one inside the other). The superstructure was composed of seventy of the kites shown in No. 4 tied together at the corners, arranged in two sets of thirty-five kites each. The seventy kites were tested individually before being combined, and each was found to fly well by itself.

Plate II.—Different views of a Multicellular Giant Kite. The framework of the body is of stout material composed partly of tetrahedral cells, but the sledge runners at the bottom, being parallel, require diagonal bracing. This same body is shown in Nos. 1, 2, 3, Plate I. The superstructure is of light material and is composed of 70 triangular kites (like that shown in No. 4, Plate I) tied together at the corners and arranged in two sets—one at the bow, the other at the stern.

Plate III.—The Multicellular Giant Kite rising into the air. The body broke as the kite went up, so that no photograph of the kite could be taken at a higher elevation. The light superstructure seems to have escaped injury in the air, but a few of the constituent kites were broken by contact with the ground and the broken framework of the body. It is somewhat remarkable that the stout body sticks should have given way rather than the fragile sticks of the superstructure.

Plate IV.—Giant kites, too large to pass through the double doors of the storage building, had to be put together in the open field. This proving to be impracticable without some sort of shelter from the wind, a wind-break became a necessity, and I determined to build one out of tetrahedral cells. After the necessary number of tetrahedral cells had been prepared they were put together in a single day, the ridge-pole being added subsequently. When the kite-flying experiments ceased for the season the framework was taken to pieces and the tetrahedral cells employed in the construction of tetrahedral houses—covered with tent-cloth—for the shelter of sheep. The materials can be reassembled at any time desired, and the wind-break rebuilt in a few hours. The photographs illustrate dif-

ferent stages in the process of construction :

1. Tetrahedral cell employed in making the framework of the wind-break.

2, 3, and 4. The wind-break in process of construction.

Plate V.—1. Wind-break completed, showing canvas rolled down.

2. Wind-break showing canvas raised.

3. End view of wind-break.

4. Model of the framework for a tetrahedral house.

5. Tetrahedral nuts for fastening tetrahedral frames together.

Plate VI.—1. The observation-house where the kite experiments are observed and noted. The house itself is of the tetrahedral form.

2. Front view of winged boat, the framework of which is constructed of tetrahedral cells.

3. Another view of the winged boat.

4. The winged boat in the air.

Plate VII.—1. A tetrahedral frame of tetrahedral cells, winged on the outside, with an internal aeroplane.

2. A kite formed of two tetrahedral structures like that in No. 1 connected together by a framework composed of tetrahedral cells.

3. The kite of No. 2 fitted with compound tetrahedral frames at either end converting the framework into the form of a boat. This same kite with the framework covered constitutes the winged boat shown in Nos. 2, 3, and 4, Plate VI.

4. The kite of No. 2 in the air.

Plate VIII.—3. Non-capsizable kite. When from any cause the kite tips to one side the lifting power increases on the depressed side and diminishes on the elevated side, thus tending to right the kite.

1. Non-capsizable kite flying from flag-pole.

2, Tetrahedral frame used in the construction of the winged boat shown in Plate VI ; also used in the structures shown in Plate VII.

4. Portions of the kite shown in Plate VII, No. 3, in sections ready to be tied together.

Plate IX.—Photographs illustrating mode of studying the behavior of bodies in the air, whether these bodies are capable of supporting themselves in the air or not. They are attached to the end of a bamboo pole by a cord sufficiently short to prevent them from dashing themselves to pieces upon the ground. A flag-pole is used for large kites, but a bamboo fishing rod is more convenient for testing the flying qualities of the smaller structures. In the cases shown in the plate, the cord is a manila rope, about ¼ inch in diameter. Such a rope is too heavy for light kites, but smaller cords make so little impression on the photographic film that it is often difficult when such cords are used to understand the conditions of an experiment from a photograph.

1. A single set of triangular cells constituting a hexagonal figure with six interior radial wings.

2. A single set of triangular cells constituting the figure of a triangle within a triangle.

3. A kite with three sets of triangular cells.

4. Kite shown in No. 3 flying from a bamboo pole.

5. Two-celled triangular kite with rope attached to rear edge of front cell.

6. Same kite shown in No. 5 flown by the bow.

Plate X.—These photographs illustrate experiments with kites formed partly of open tetrahedral cells, with the spaces between the cells covered.

1. Kite with two pentahedral cells close together, each cell having three of its five faces covered. The rectangular part of the kite is braced diagonally by means of tightly stretched wires.

2. Same kite shown in No. 1 at a considerable elevation in the air.

3. Similar kite with four pentahedral cells close together, each cell having

three of its five faces covered. The open spaces between the cells are tetrahedral in form.

4. Kite shown in No. 3 flying with its rectangular side up.

5. Kite shown in No. 3 flying with its rectangular side down.

6. Kite shown in No. 3 with the covering removed from the two middle pentahedral cells—rectangular side down.

7. Same kite shown in No. 6 flying with the rectangular side up. In this picture the short white line in the margin of the photograph indicates the direction of the flying cord.

Plate XI.—Experiments to determine the relation of center of gravity to center of surface in a flying structure by shifting the cellular superstructure to different parts of the body frame.

1. Superstructure over first body cell; center of gravity too far back.

2. Superstructure over second body cell.

3. Superstructure over third body cell.

4. Superstructure over fourth body cell; center of gravity too far forward; kite dived, superstructure smashed.

Plate XII.—Experiments with kites having two sets of cells in the superstructure:

1. Superstructure over second and fourth body cells.

2. Just rising in the air.

3. Flying by cord attached to front of first body cell.

4. Bringing the kite down while anchored by a bow-line.

5. Superstructure over first and fifth body cells. Flying line attached to front of first body cell. The apparent smallness of the kite shows that it is at a considerable elevation in the air.

6. Kite being landed from a distance. Allowed to fall on a slack line, but checked momentarily as it nears the ground to reduce the rate of fall. Again allowed to fall and the cord reeled in so as to give the kite headway at the moment of contact with the ground, thus causing the stern to strike only a glancing blow. A bow line, however, is a great safeguard against injury.

Plate XIII.—The photographs illustrate the nature of experiments made to test the effect of varying the number and position of sets of triangular cells upon a body framework:

1. Two sets of cells near bow, and one stern set as a tail.

2. Kite shown in No. 1 at a great elevation in the air.

3. Same kite shown in No. 1 with the stern set of cells removed. The photograph shows very clearly the bow-line used to facilitate the handling of kites in the air. Flying by the bow-line reduces enormously the strain upon the structure when the kite first begins to rise in the air. This strain gradually eases off as the kite rises, and when it is at a considerable elevation the bow-line is made slack while the kite is held by the other, or "flying-cord," which in this case is attached to the rear edge of the first set of cells, when the kite rises still higher. The bow-line is again used in bringing the kite down, for the body then becomes practically horizontal as it nears the ground. This is advantageous, for it reduces the risk of injury to the kite upon landing. In good flying kites anchored by the bow the bow-line can be overrun by the hand, or by a grooved roller, until the kite is reached and grasped by the hand without allowing the kite to touch the ground at all.

5. Same kite shown in No. 3, but the sets of cells separated as far as possible upon the body.

6. Kite shown in No. 5 nearing the ground after an experiment. It is flying by the bow-line, and the photograph shows the other line blown back by the wind, or perhaps held in the hands of an assistant.

4. A kite with eight sets of cells.

The spaces between the sets are not sufficient to constitute the kite a good flyer. The sets of cells interfere with one another.

Plate XIV.—1. Multicellular kite having 6 sets of cells in the superstructure.

3. Multicellular kite in the air.

2. Giant kite having three 12-sided cells, each with 6 radial wings.

4. Giant kite flying from pole.

Plate XV.—1. Hexagonal kite with six radial wings, loaded in the middle with an adjustable weight.

3. Hexagonal kite flying from a flagstaff.

2. Twelve-sided kite with six radial wings, of giant construction.

4. Twelve-sided kite flying from a flagstaff.

Plate XVI.—Paddle-Wheel Kite. 1. Paddle-wheel kite on the ground.

2. Side view of same kite in the air.

3. Another photograph of paddle-wheel kite in the air.

4. End view of paddle-wheel kite. In most of the photographs the flying-line is invisible, but in above photographs and others the visibility has been improved by tying pieces of colored cloth at intervals upon it, as in the tail of an old-fashioned kite, thus enabling the direction of the cord for a short distance from the kite to be visible as a dotted line upon the photograph.

MR ZIEGLER AND THE NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SOCIETY

AT the invitation of Mr William Ziegler, the National Geographic Society is to direct the scientific work of the north polar expedition which Mr Ziegler has equipped and which is known as the Ziegler Polar Expedition.

The National Geographic Society has chosen as its official representative on the expedition Mr William J. Peters of the U. S. Geological Survey. Mr Peters will be second in command, and will have entire charge of all the scientific observations and determinations of the party. Mr Peters is one of the splendid corps of explorers of the U. S. Geological Survey. He has made several notable journeys in Alaska, the most remarkable of which was in 1901, when, as leader of a Survey party, he made a sledge journey with dogs of 1,600 miles.*

The expedition sails from Trondhjem, Norway, about June 20, on the steam yacht *America*, which has been thoroughly overhauled and strengthened during the past year. They will advance as far north as the ship can take them, and will then land on Franz Josef Land, where the winter will be passed. As soon as light returns in 1904 the march for the Pole will begin. The *America* stays with the party. In June, 1904, an auxiliary vessel, under command of Wm. S. Champ, will go north to carry additional supplies and to escort the expedition home.

The commander of the expedition is Mr Anthony Fiala, of Brooklyn, N. Y. Mr Fiala was second in command of the first Ziegler expedition. He is about 33 years of age, strong and vigorous, and would seem to have all the requirements for a successful leader of an arctic expedition.

Mr Ziegler has shown himself an enthusiastic and generous supporter of arctic exploration. When his first

* See NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC MAGAZINE, vol. 12, 1901, p. 399.

expedition returned unsuccessful in reaching the North Pole, though it had cost him several hundred thousand dollars, he at once announced that he would send out a second expedition. Everything that experience or thought could suggest has been provided. The party will take 30 Siberian ponies with them. The last expedition had a number of these ponies and found them much superior to dogs. They are both stronger and more enduring than dogs, and while they eat more they can carry more in proportion. The ponies can go anywhere that a dog can go and are more reliable, for when they come to a hummock they do not dart in different directions and upset the sledges. Hay to feed the ponies is being carried in solidly compressed bales. Besides the ponies, 200 dogs are also taken.

On the first Ziegler expedition eight nationalities were represented, and great confusion resulted because of the varieties of language. Every member of the present expedition is an American by birth or naturalization; most of the men have had experience in arctic work before, either in Alaska, Hudson Bay, or on whaling vessels. The sailing master, Captain Coffin, as captain of a whaler has for 25 years battled with the arctic ice. Mr Russell W. Porter, of the scientific staff, has had service in Greenland with Peary and also accompanied the first Ziegler expedition. Mr Francis Long was a member of the Greely expedition of 1881–'84.

Mr Ziegler's ambition to plant the American flag at the North Pole is patriotic and laudable. The National Geographic Society is glad to indorse his worthy object and to wish him and his gallant men success.

The instructions of the National Geographic Society to Mr Peters regarding the scientific work to be done are summarized in the following report to President Graham Bell by Mr G. K. Gilbert, Chairman of the Research Committee:

MAY 19, 1903.

Dr ALEXANDER GRAHAM BELL,
President National Geographic Society.

DEAR SIR: The Committee on Research was instructed by the Executive Committee of the Society to consider the possibilities of scientific work by and under the direction of Mr Peters during the Ziegler Arctic Expedition, and to recommend the lines of investigation to be followed. I regret to say that the committee has not been able to hold a meeting, on account of the engagements of its members, and especially the absence of several members from the city. I have, however, conferred personally with Dr Merriam, General Greely, and Admiral Melville, of my colleagues on the committee, and also with Professor Moore, Chief of the Weather Bureau; with Mr Tittmann, Superintendent of the Coast Survey, and with other officers of the Coast Survey, and as a result of these conferences I feel warranted in making certain recommendations concerning the lines of research which may best be undertaken by Mr Peters.

The considerations influencing the selection of these lines are (1) that Mr Peters will have very little skilled assistance; (2) that during the long night to be spent in camp on Franz Josef Land there will be abundant time at his disposal, including his own and that of various assistants, and (3) that in the journey northward his attention will be quite fully occupied in the work of determining the route and position of the party, and with such executive work as may fall to his share. I think it well, therefore, that he limit his plan for research chiefly to such lines as can be best followed on the land, and that he restrict his attention in the main to such studies as his education and previous training best qualify him to conduct.

Gravity.—It is recommended that a determination of gravity be made by pendulum observations at the winter

camp. With the assistance of Mr Hayford and other officers of the Coast Survey, Mr Peters is now making preparation for that work.

Tides.—It is recommended that systematic tidal observations be made at the base camp, a continuous record being maintained through a complete lunation and so much longer as may be necessary to eliminate any irregularities occasioned by storms. For this work Mr Peters is receiving instructions from Dr Harris, of the U. S. Coast Survey.

Magnetism.—It is recommended that systematic observations of the usual magnetic elements be made at the base camp. It is important that the declination be observed, if possible, at some point where a previous record has been made, and also that the magnetic station of the present expedition be definitely marked and recorded, so that at any future time it may be possible to reoccupy the station. The determination of declination will have immediate importance in connection with the main purpose of the expedition, because if the Pole is approached the compass will afford the most trustworty means for orientation and for the determination of the proper route to be followed in returning. Conversely, the traverse of the journey on the ice, taken in connection with astronomical observations, will throw light on the position and curvature of the magnetic meridians in the polar region—a field of inquiry which has heretofore been occupied only in a theoretic way.

Aurora.—In connection with systematic magnetic work, it is desirable to make systematic observation of auroras, recording phenomena with some fulness. The question whether the aurora is ever accompanied by sound is one to which attention may well be given.

Meteorology.—It is the opinion of Professor Moore that in the present state of meteorologic investigation the regular observation at Franz Josef Land of pressure, temperature, and surface wind, while desirable, is less important than the determination of the height, drift, and velocity of clouds. Professor Moore has undertaken to prepare instructions for such a determination,

Sea-Depth.—In the judgment of Admiral Melville, it is very desirable that soundings be made during the northward journey, especially as the results of such soundings on the outward journey may aid in the determination of position during the return journey. They will, of course, make contribution to the general body of geographic information, and supplement the important determinations made by Nansen. Whether it will be practicable to carry on the sledges any apparatus adequate to reach considerable depth is a question which may advantageously be considered on shipboard.

Other Observations.—It is not recommended that any special preparation be made for observations in geology, zoölogy, or botany, although the geologist will welcome samples of prevailing rocks, and especially any fossils which may be found, and the zoölogist will be glad to have record of birds and mammals seen, so far as the members of the party may be able to identify them.

Yours very truly,

G. K. GILBERT,
Chairman Research Committee.

The names of the members of the expedition and their duties follow :

Commanding officer, Anthony Fiala, Brooklyn, N. Y.

Field Department

Chief of scientific staff and second in command, William J. Peters, Washington, D. C.

First assistant scientific staff, Russell W. Porter, Springfield, Mass.

Meteorologist, Francis Long, Brooklyn, N. Y.

Surgeon, Dr George Shorkley, Camden, Me.

Assistant surgeon, Chas. L. Seitz, Evansville, Ind.; assistant surgeon, J. Colin Vaughn, Forest Hill, N. J.

Veterinarian, H. H. Newcomb, Milford, Mass.

Quartermasters in charge of sledge equipment, Charles E. Rilliet, St. Louis, Mo.; Jefferson F. Moulton, Second Cavalry, U. S. Army.

Third assistant quartermaster, R. R. Tafal, Philadelphia, Pa.

Fourth assistant quartermaster, John W. Truden, N. Y. city, N. Y.

Assistants in quartermaster's department, John Vedow, Mass.; Pierre Le Royer.

Deck Department

Captain, Edward Coffin, Edgartown, Mass.

First officer, Edward Haven, Lynn, Mass.

Second officer, James W. Nichols.

First quartermaster, Allen W. Montrose, Lowell, Mass.

Second quartermaster, William R. Meyers, Boston, Mass.

Third quartermaster, Franklin Cowing, New Bedford, Mass.

Fourth quartermaster, Chas. Kunold, New York.

Seamen, Harry Burns, Dunkirk, N. Y.; D. S. Mackiernan, Dorchester, Mass.; Alfred Beddow, London, Eng.; Clarence W. Thwing, Boston, Mass.; Elijah L. Perry, New Bedford, Mass.; Emil Meyer, New York; John Duffy, Waltham, Mass.; William Ross, New York.

Assistant steward, Spencer W. Stewart, Brooklyn, N. Y.

Cook, George H. Smith, Somerville, Mass.

Boy, James Dean, New Bedford, Mass.

Engineer's Department.

Chief engineer, H. P. Hartt, Portsmouth, Va.

First assistant engineer, E. L. Varney, Camden, Maine.

Second assistant engineer, Anton Vedow, Boston, Mass.

Firemen, George D. Butland, Brooklyn, N. Y.; Charles E. Hudgins, Norfolk, Va.

GEOGRAPHIC NOTES

NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SOCIETY

AT a meeting of the Board of Managers of the National Geographic Society on May 15, Dr Alexander Graham Bell tendered his resignation as President of the Society. Dr Bell stated that owing to the pressure of work he found it impossible to give to the Society the thought that the position of President demanded. The resignation of President Bell was accepted by the Board with profound regret, to take effect on the election of his successor. Dr Bell was appointed chairman of a committee of three to consider and nominate a successor. The other two members of the committee, appointed by the President, are Dr Willis L. Moore, Chief U. S. Weather Bureau, and Mr G. K. Gilbert, U. S. Geological Survey. As no election will be made until the fall, Dr Bell will continue as President of the Society for some months.

At the same meeting of the Board, Vice-President W J McGee was appointed chairman of the Committee on the International Geographical Congress which is to meet in America in 1904 under the auspices of the National Geographic Society. General Greely, the original chairman of this committee,

was compelled to resign the chairmanship because of ill health and the pressure of official duties.

At an adjourned meeting of the Board held May 18 resolutions were unanimously passed indorsing the movement to bring the remains of James Smithson, the founder of the Smithsonian Institution, to America, and inter them in the grounds of the Institution.

The Geographical Society of the Pacific has taken similar action.

ALASKAN SURVEYS, 1903

THE operations of the United States Geological Survey in Alaska during the coming field season will be along the same general lines that have been followed during the last few years, except that the work contemplated involves rather more detailed mapping and investigation. The general policy of devoting special attention to regions of greatest activity in mining affairs will be continued.

It is planned to complete the surveys of the Seward Peninsula, which has been under investigation for several years. This peninsula embraces what are up to the present time the most important gold placers of the entire territory. Mr Arthur J. Collier, with an assistant, will make a special study of the geology and mineral resources of the southern and northwestern part of the peninsula. It is intended that his work should supplement that of previous years, and that he should pay special attention to the developments that have been made during the last season. It is hoped that by this means further light will be thrown on the occurrence of placer gold in the various forms of deposits in which it is found. To Mr D. C. Witherspoon will be entrusted the topographic survey of the northeastern part of the peninsula, including the gold fields adjacent to Deering. The geologic work of this area will be duly arranged for.

Two parties will be organized for surveys in the Yukon gold district. One party, led by Mr T. G. Gerdine, will make a topographic survey extending from the Fortymile region westward to the Tanana River and embracing as wide a belt as length of season and climatic conditions will permit, a special effort being made to reach and map the lower Tanana gold fields. The second party will be in immediate charge of Mr L. M. Prindle, and will have for its field of operations the Fortymile and Birch Creek regions and the newly discovered gold fields near the lower Tanana. This party will make a geological investigation and an examination of the mineral resources of the region. These two parties, it is expected, will obtain much information in regard to the new gold fields on the Tanana, which are reported to be very rich.

The investigation of the stratigraphy of the Yukon, begun by Mr Collier during the last season, will be continued by Dr Arthur Hollick. Dr Hollick will visit a number of points on the Upper and Lower Yukon with a view to determining the stratigraphic position of the coal-bearing horizons by special studies of local areas and extensive collections of fossils.

The Kayak Island and Controller Bay petroleum and coal fields will be the subject of a preliminary examination by Mr Frank C. Schrader. It is planned that Mr Schrader shall spend about two months in this region, with a view to ascertaining the extent of these important deposits and their probable economic value. Late in the season Mr. Schrader will make a more hasty examination of some of the petroleum and coal localities on Cook Inlet.

The investigations in southeastern Alaska will be made by Dr Arthur C. Spencer, who, with an assistant, will make a special study of the Juneau mining district and map the geology of the adjacent region. For this purpose a detailed topographic map was made

Prospecting for Gold in Alaska

during the last season. Dr Spencer will also make preliminary examinations of some of the other important mining districts of southeastern Alaska.

Mr Alfred H. Brooks, who has charge of the geologic work in Alaska, will go to Juneau in the early part of the season, and later will join Dr Hollick's party on the Upper Yukon for some stratigraphic studies. Later still, in company with Mr Prindle, he will visit the Tanana gold district. The month of September will be spent by him in the Nome and adjacent gold fields of the Seward Peninsula.

GOLD DISCOVERIES IN ALASKA

A STRIKE of rich placer diggings has been made in Alaska, in the Circle City mining division, on the tributaries of the Tanana River, a district in which for several years past American miners have made a thorough search for good placer-mining deposits without success. The present strike seems to be one of more than ordinary importance, and has caused a stampede of miners from Dawson City and other districts to the new fields. It is unsafe to predict too much, but the general opinion seems to be that a large and productive placer field in American territory has at last been struck. Circle City is practically deserted as a result of the rush. The Eagle-Circle route is reported to be the best means of reaching the Tanana from Dawson, as the trails by Fortymile and Goodpasture are unbroken, and no supplies are available. From Fortymile to the new diggings the distance is 160 miles.

The region of the recent discovery is not yet surveyed, though the United States Geological Survey has made several explorations in the vicinity. These explorations are a part of a general system of preliminary surveys which the Geological Survey has been carrying on in Alaska as rapidly as possible during the last five years. A report entitled "A Reconnaissance in the White and Tanana River Basin," by Alfred H. Brooks, contains the results of a reconnaissance made in 1898. It describes briefly the geography, geology, climate, and timber of the region, and, so far as the character of the investigation would permit, deals with the mineral resources. The party left the coast at Skagway in March, 1898, and made its way inland for about 100 miles with sleds; then, after waiting until the ice on the river broke up, it continued down the Lewes and Yukon rivers in canoes to the mouth of White River. That river had never before been ascended in boats because of its mad, rushing current. After six weeks of hard labor the party succeeded in dragging canoes and supplies up White River 150 miles, where a portage was found to Tanana waters. The downstream trip to the mouth of the Tanana, a journey of about 600 miles, occupied a month. The party finally reached the Yukon after a canoe journey of 1,600 miles.

A second report by Mr Brooks deals with the Upper Tanana Basin and is entitled "A Reconnaissance from Pyramid Harbor to Eagle City, Alaska." This also treats of the geography, geology, and mineral resources of the region traversed by the party. It is based on a journey made with pack horses from the coast at Pyramid Harbor, southeastern Alaska, to the Yukon, near the international boundary. The trip, which occupied about three months and was made on foot, aggregated about 600 miles. So arduous was the journey that only five of the fifteen horses that started with the party survived the trip. The chief difficulty with which the party had to contend was the many turbulent rivers that had to be crossed. Three boats were built by the party during the course of the summer.

A third journey was made by Mr

Brooks through the Tanana Basin during the summer of 1902. This extended through to the Yukon from Cook Inlet, by the Lower Tanana Valley. The report on this expedition is now in preparation.

DECISIONS OF THE U. S. BOARD ON GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

From January to May, Both Inclusive, 1903

Agamok; lake, Lake County, Minnesota (not Agamak).

Alvada; post-office and railroad station, Seneca County, Ohio (not Alveda).

Balsam; mountain in the Catskills, Green County, New York (not Sheril nor Sherill).

Bantam; river, tributary to Shepaug River from the northeast, Litchfield County, Connecticut (not Shepaug nor East Branch Shepaug).

Barrack; mountain in Canaan, Litchfield County, Connecticut (not Garruck).

Basswood; lake, partly in Lake County, Minnesota, lying across the international boundary line (not Bassimenau, Bois, Blanc, nor Whitewood).

Beeslick; brook and pond in Salisbury, Litchfield County, Connecticut (not Beaslick, Peeslake, Bees Lick, Beestick, Beezelake, nor Nancook).

Belle Ayr; mountain and post-office, Ulster County, New York (not Belle Air, Belle Ayre, nor Belleayre).

Berne; post-office, town, and village, Albany County, New York (not Bern).

Caroga; creek, Fulton and Montgomery Counties; lake and town, Fulton County, New York (not Garoga).

Cary; lake in Whitney Preserve, Hamilton County, New York (not Carey nor Carry).

Castac; creek, railroad station, and valley, Los Angeles County, California (not Castaic nor Castiac).

Cheshnina; river, tributary to Copper River from the east, Alaska (not Cheshni).

Chumstick; creek, Chelan County, Washington (not Chumpstick).

Cypress; lake, partly in Lake County, Minnesota, lying across the international boundary line (not Otter Track).

Deceiper; creek, Clark County, Arkansas (not Decepier, Deceyper, nor Deciper).

Elliott; creek, tributary to the Kotsina from the east, Alaska (not Elliot).

Gabimichigami; lake, Lake County, Minnesota (not Gobbemichigamme, Gobbemichigomog, Michigamme, etc.).

Gakona; river, tributary to Copper River from the west, Alaska (not Gako).

Germano; post-office and village, German Township, Harrison County, Ohio (not German, Jefferson, nor New Jefferson).

Grays; island in marsh near Elliott, Dorchester County, Maryland (not Blackwalnut).

Jackson; hole, post-office, and valley, Uinta County, Wyoming (not Teton). So named, in 1828, by Captain Sublette, after his partner, David E. Jackson, of St Louis, Mo. In recent years erroneously alleged to have been named after a notorious convict and outlaw, "Teton Jackson."

Jellison; cape, Penobscot Bay, Waldo County, Maine (not Gellison).

Kawishiwi; river, Lake County, Minnesota (not Cashaway nor Kashaway).

Kekekabic; lake, Lake County, Minnesota (not Cacaquabic, Hawk, nor Sparrow Hawk).

Las Choyas; valley near San Diego, San Diego County, California (not Chollas, La Cholla, nor Las Chollas).

Levisa; river, the west fork of Big Sandy River, Kentucky and Virginia (not Lavisa nor Louisa).

Los Penasquitos; canyon and land grant, San Diego County, California (not Las Penasquitas, Paguay, Penasquitos, nor Pinasquitos).

Marshepaug; river, tributary to Shepaug River, draining from Tyler Pond, Litchfield County, Connecticut (not East Branch Shepaug, Marshapogge, nor Mashepaug).

McAdoo; creek, Posey County, Indiana (not Macadoo).

Mule; mountains, southeastern Arizona (not Mule Pass).

New Riegel; post-office and railroad station, Seneca County, Ohio (not New Reigel, New Riegle, etc.).

Ogishkemuncie; lake, Lake County, Minnesota (not Kingfisher, Ogishki Muncie, etc.).

Peking; city, capital of China (not Pekin). This is a reversal of the decision Pekin, rendered February 2, 1897.

Pinyon; flat, Riverside County, California (not Pinon nor Piñon).

Pipe; creek, Erie County, Ohio (not Oganse, Ogontz, nor Pike).

Pleito; creek, Kern County, California (not Plata, Plato, nor Pieto).

Put-in; bay in South Bass Island, Lake Erie, Ottawa County, Ohio (not Put in nor Putin).

Put-in-Bay; post-office, township, and village, Ottawa County, Ohio (not Put in Bay nor Put-in Bay).

Ribeyre; island in Wabash River, Posey County, Indiana (not Cut-off).

San Clemente; canyon, near La Jolla, San Diego County, California (not Clemente nor San Clemento).

San Dieguito; land grant and valley, San Diego County, California (not San Diegito nor San Digitas).

San Emigdio; creek, land grant, and mountain, Kern County, California (not San Emedio, San Emidio, nor San Emidion).

Shawangunk; mountains, Ulster County, New York (not Millbrook).

St Peters; creek and district, Somerset County, Maryland (not St Peter nor St Peter's).

Tia Juana; post-office and river, San Diego County, California (not Tijuana).

Tyler; pond in Goshen, Litchfield County, Connecticut (not Marshapauge, Tyler's, nor West Side).

Wachocastinook; brook, or creek in Salisbury, Litchfield County, Connecticut (not Mount Riga nor Washinee).

Wangum; lake in Canaan, Litchfield County, Connecticut (not Waugum, Wangem, nor Wungum).

Wells; island in St Lawrence River, Jefferson County, New York (not Wellesley).

Wenatchee; lake, post-office, precinct, railroad station, river, and town, Chelan County, Washington (not Wenache nor Wenatche). This is a reversal of the decision Wenache, rendered in 1892.

Weoka; creek, post-office, and precinct, Elmore County, Alabama (not Wewoka, Wewokee, Wiwoka, etc.).

Wolf; creek, Sandusky and Seneca Counties, Ohio (not Raccoon nor West Branch Wolf).

Wononpakook; pond in Salisbury, Litchfield County, Connecticut (not Long, Wanompakook, Wonon Pakok, nor Wononpokok).

Wononskopomuc; lake in Salisbury, Litchfield County, Connecticut (not Furnace, Wononscopomoc, Wononskopomus, etc.).

GEOGRAPHIC LITERATURE

American Diplomacy in the Orient. By John W. Foster, author of a *Century of American Diplomacy*. Pp. 498. 9 x 6 inches. Boston and New York: Houghton, Mifflin & Co. 1903.

This book covers a field which no other volume had even attempted to more than touch. There existed a mass of literature upon the subject, but it was utterly disconnected and the investigator was forced to seek for it laboriously at many different sources. To understand any one phase of American diplomatic achievement in the East required difficult and perplexing research. In consequence few Americans have attempted to grasp more than its mere outline. The reading public is now put in possession of an authoritative and comprehensive work—a work, too, which presents every advantage of a compendium, but a compendium enlarged and enriched by a chaste literary style. We have here an encyclopædic treatise wherein each part is conjoined with every other part, and wherein the whole composes a history majestic by the grandeur and world-wide influence of the deeds it recounts.

The opening chapter is preliminary, describing early European relations with the Far East. It emphasizes a fact, commonly unknown or forgotten, that Asiatic prohibition of foreign intercourse dates from hardly earlier than the beginning of the seventeenth century and was mainly due to "the violent and aggressive conduct" of the European discoverers and adventurers who visited those countries in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries. The chapter concludes with the failure of the British expedition under Lord Amherst, then governor general of India, to establish diplomatic relations with China. That was in 1815.

The following twelve chapters, beginning with "America's First Intercourse" and ending with "The Spanish War: Its Results," summarize the first treaties with China and set forth the stages in that empire's increasing decrepitude, describe the opening, the transformation, and the enfranchisement of Japan, trace the development of the Hawaiian Islands and their annexation to the United States, picture the emergence of the anomalous kingdom



Hon. John W. Foster

of Korea, explain the imbroglio over the Samoan Islands, and touch upon the Spanish War only so far as it thrusts upon us a territorial and political heritage beyond the Pacific. The book concludes with a graphic presentation of the national factors now involved in the solution of the far eastern problem and with the expression of a confident assurance that the Union, which has met so well the emergencies of the past, will meet equally well the emergencies of the future.

In the compressed limits of 438 pages, to exhaust each specific topic discussed was an impossible task and such as no writer would attempt. The author says in his preface: "The treatment in a single volume of a subject embracing several countries and covering more than a century has required brevity of statement and the omission of many interesting facts." But a master's hand is shown in seizing upon and presenting essential facts and in throwing into distinctness not only those main facts but the minor facts therewith intimately connected. Hence there are left upon the reader's mind impressions photographic in their accuracy and clearness. Furthermore, the numerous footnotes are carefully chosen and of value to additional investigation. There is not one that is superfluous, not one that does not cast added light upon the text.

An appendix of 36 pages contains the Protocol of September 7, 1901, between China and the Treaty Powers, the Emigration Treaty of 1894 between China and the United States, the Treaty of 1894 between the United States and Japan, the Joint Resolution for annexing the Hawaiian Islands to the United States, the Samoan Treaty of 1899 between the United States, Germany, and Great Britain, the Protocol of August 12, 1898, and the Treaty of 1898 between the United States and Spain. To the joy of the student's heart, there is an admirable index of 22 pages.

Certain personal characteristics of the author invest his book with a peculiar charm. By international consent he is to be ranked among the ablest and most successful diplomats America has produced. In the special field of diplomacy concerning which he writes he has borne a distinguished and a prominent part. Yet in this volume he makes no reference to himself. It is doubtful if the pronoun I can be found from beginning to end. His name is sought in the index in vain. When forced by the exigencies of his narrative to refer to anything he has himself done he hides his personality under the indefinite designation of "a citizen of the United States." Such reticence concerning one's own exploits is rare among the men who have represented the United States in the East. But General Foster is as unassuming as he is great.

Another personal characteristic is revealed in his fairness and simplicity of statement. The spirit of apology or advocacy or partizanship is silent here. Calmly, dispassionately the facts are marshalled and the story told. A striking example among many which might be cited is afforded in Chapter VIII, upon "Chinese Immigration and Exclusion." This chapter deals with a burning question, over which Chinese immigrant and American laborer have been wrought to frenzy. On no political subject has there been more intemperance of feeling and expression. Yet all that could be said on either side is here put so comprehensively, so compactly, so forcibly, that either party might be content with this exposition of its case. Such capability of intimate appreciation and balanced statement is not wholly the result of wide experience and profound acquaintance with the motives which move men. It is a consequence far more of personal temperament and habit of mind.

When American enterprise first knocked at the doors of China, Japan, and Korea, those countries—with the exception of a few trading ports, diffi-

cuit of access and hemmed in by almost prohibitive restrictions—were locked in seemingly impenetrable seclusion. This book is the tale of how American diplomacy, more than that of any other people, more perhaps than that of all other peoples, broke through the obstacles and brought those oriental States into international relations. Blunders were more than once committed. More than one American consul or envoy was incapable or unfortunate. But the great majority of our representatives performed their parts well. They brought to their posts the diplomacy of practical men, diplomaed in the school of experience and sure to win over the obstructive astuteness of the East.

But it should always be remembered that along the path to final results the sailor, the merchant, the missionary, led the way. Moreover, from their ranks were recruited many who afterward in official station merited distinction. Such men were Major Shaw, Edmund Roberts, Townsend Harris, Peter Parker, H. N. Allen, S. Wells Williams, and others deserving mention. Major Shaw was supercargo on *The Empress of China*, the first vessel to bear the starry flag across the Pacific. He became our first consul at Canton, "a man worthy the honor." Edmund Roberts, of New Hampshire, was a large ship-owner and merchant. Later accredited envoy to Siam, Muscat, and Annam, he became "the pioneer in the oriental diplomacy of the United States." Townsend Harris, a supercargo and merchant from New York, was the first consul general in Japan, "negotiator of the first commercial treaty with Japan," no less a benefactor of that Empire than had been Commodore Perry. The medical missionary, Peter Parker, was twice chargé d'affaires, then commissioner, then efficient minister to China. The medical missionary, H. N. Allen, has more than justified his appointment under two Presidents as minister to Korea. The name of S. Wells Williams, missionary of the American Board, author of "The Middle Kingdom," for twenty years secretary of legation and often chargé d'affaires at Pekin, is almost a household word.

It would be a congenial task to linger in the further discussion of "American Diplomacy in the Orient," even as it is delightful to linger over its perusal. However lengthy the review, much will be left unsaid.

The tale this book tells is weighty, yet, made up of peril, tact, persistence, daring, it has the fascination of romance. It is the record of a diplomacy wherein honest dealing, truth, and self-respect were dominant factors. It is the record of a diplomacy which the diplomacy of any other country may be in vain challenged to surpass in ability, in influence, and in success. The unvarnished recital of its deeds casts honor upon the American name and inspires in the American reader a sentiment of gratitude and pride.

EDWIN A. GROSVENOR,
Amherst College, Massachusetts.

The Brazilian Government has provided for the mapping of its territory on a scientific basis. Last year the Congress appropriated the necessary funds for commencing the work, and a commission, of which Colonel Francisco de Abreu Lima is president, was to leave Rio early in May for the State of Rio Grande do Sul to make a reconnaissance of the first zone to be triangulated. The scheme, as far as at present outlined, includes the measurement of basis at Porto Alegre and Uruguayana, and the connection of these two cities by triangulation. This will give an arc of about six and one-quarter degrees of longitude in about latitude thirty degrees south. The Superintendent of the U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey has been requested by the commission to supervise the preparation of the necessary tapes and accessories for the measurement of bases.

THE ALASKA FRONTIER

By THOMAS WILLING BALCH, A. B. (Harvard)
Member of the Philadelphia Bar

THIS BOOK gives a complete account up to 1903 of all the facts relating to the Alaska boundary question, including the negotiations preceding the Anglo-Russian Treaty of 1825, the subsequent official acts of the various interested governments, the purchase of Alaska by the United States, the International Law governing the case, and reproductions of twenty-eight maps, some of them very rare. To collect the material upon which this book is based the author traveled as far as Alaska and St. Petersburg.

"In Mr. Balch's book the main threads of evidence are woven into a conclusive whole, which should be in the hands of all interested, and the publication of which is of general importance at the present time."—*The New York Evening Post, April 15, 1903.*

"This book . . . constitutes, all things considered, the most effective, accumulative, and crushing blow thus far dealt the Canadian claim."—*Philadelphia Press, February 22, 1903.*

"The work of Mr. Balch . . . is by far the fullest and best presentation of the American case which has ever been made, and will be of great value to the counsel who will appear for the United States before the Boundary Commission."—*Seattle Post-Intelligencer, April 2, 1903.*

"If there is any one who has any doubt as to the validity of the American claim to all the sinuosities of the coast north of 54-40, it is safe to say that the doubt could never survive a careful reading of 'The Alaska Frontier.'"—*Daily Alaskan, Skagway, April 16, 1903.*

---

212 pages, large 8vo.

Bound in cloth, price $2.00 net

ALLEN, LANE & SCOTT

1211-1213 CLOVER ST. PHILADELPHIA, PA.

PORTLAND SUMMER SCHOOL
PORTLAND, MAINE.

"Better than going abroad, and the best preparation for a trip abroad."

Portland Summer School

JULY 13 TO AUGUST 21

Successor to the famous Sauveur Summer School, founded in 1875 at Amherst College.

Courses in modern and ancient languages, culture of the speaking voice, instrumental and vocal music.

For illustrated circular address:

ARNOLD WERNER-SPANHOOFD
Central High School, - Washington, D. C.

FORTY-EIGHT PAGES

are required just to describe a lot of interesting booklets of travel, any one of which can be obtained by sending a few cents in stamps. These forty-eight pages make the

ILLUSTRATED CATALOGUE
OF THE
NEW YORK CENTRAL'S
"FOUR-TRACK SERIES"

Embracing 37 Books of Travel.

A copy of this catalogue will be sent free, postpaid to any address, on receipt of a two-cent stamp, by

GEORGE H. DANIELS,
Gen'l Passenger Agent,
Grand Central Station, New York.



WE
make a specialty of
HIGH GRADE WORK
for
SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

GATCHEL & MANNING
ILLUSTRATORS ENGRAVERS
227 & 41 S. 6TH ST.
PHILADELPHIA
PLATES TO PRINT IN ONE OR MORE COLORS

The Manhattan Press-Clipping Bureau

ARTHUR CASSOT, Proprietor

NEW YORK (Knickerbocker Building) LONDON

COR. FIFTH AVENUE AND 14TH STREET, NEW YORK

Will supply you with all personal reference and clippings on any subject from all the papers and periodicals published here and abroad. Our large staff of readers can gather for you more valuable material on any current subject than you can get in a lifetime.

TERMS:

100 clippings . . $ 5.00
250 clippings . . . $ 12.00
500 clippings . . . $22.00
1,000 clippings . . . $35.00

HENRY ROMEIKE'S
BUREAU OF PRESS CUTTINGS

110 Fifth Avenue, New York

Reads every paper of importance published in the United States, and through its European agencies in London, Paris, Berlin and Vienna every paper of importance published in Europe and the British Colonies. One subscription on any given subject will bring notices from the United States, and if desired also from the European papers.

WRITE FOR TERMS

BACK VOLUMES

OF THE

National Geographic Magazine

The NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC MAGAZINE has on hand a few copies of complete back volumes which may be obtained at the following prices:

Vol. 3, 1891	$5.10	Vol. 9, 1898	$2.75
Vol. 5, 1893	4.50	Vol. 11, 1900	2.50
Vol. 6, 1894-5	4.20	Vol. 12, 1901	2.50
Vol. 7, 1896	3.25		
Vol. 8, 1897	3.00	Vol. 13, 1902	2.50

The NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC MAGAZINE will pay the following prices for copies of certain back numbers:

Vol. 1, 1889, No. 1, $1.00; No. 2, $1.00
Vol. 2, 1890, No. 2, $1.00
Vol. 4, 1892, No. 1, $0.50; No. 2, $1.50; No. 3, $0.50; No. 4, $0.50; No. 5, $1.00; No. 6, $1.00
Vol. 10, 1899, No. 6, $0.50; Index, $0.50
Vol. 13, 1902, No. 1, $0.30

CORCORAN BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D. C.

Office Hours: 8.30 A. M. to 5 P. M. Telephone, Main 471

NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SOCIETY

OFFICES Rooms 107, 108 Corcoran Building
Fifteenth and F Sts. N. W., Washington, D. C.

ALEXANDER GRAHAM BELL . President
JOHN JOY EDSON . . . . Treasurer
ELIZA R. SCIDMORE . . Foreign Secretary
W J McGEE . . . . Vice-President
A. J. HENRY . . . . . Secretary

BOARD OF MANAGERS

1901-1903	1902-1904	1903-1905
MARCUS BAKER	A. GRAHAM BELL	CHARLES J. BELL
HENRY F. BLOUNT	DAVID T. DAY	GEORGE DAVIDSON
F. V. COVILLE	A. W. GREELY	WM. M. DAVIS
D. C. GILMAN	ANGELO HEILPRIN	JOHN JOY EDSON
S. H. KAUFFMANN	RUSSELL HINMAN	G. K. GILBERT
WILLIS L. MOORE	W J McGEE	A. J. HENRY
ISRAEL C. RUSSELL	GIFFORD PINCHOT	O. P. AUSTIN
R. D. SALISBURY	O. H. TITTMANN	C. HART MERRIAM

The National Geographic Magazine is sent free of charge to all members of the National Geographic Society

---

Recommendation for Membership in the NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SOCIETY

The following form is enclosed for use in the nomination of persons for membership

Please detach and fill in blanks and send to the Secretary

DUES: Annual membership, $2; Life membership, $50. If check be enclosed, please make it payable to order of the National Geographic Society, and, if at a distance from Washington, remit by New York draft or post-office money-order.

.....*1903*

To the Secretary, National Geographic Society, Washington, D. C.:

Please propose .....

address: .....

.....

for membership in the Society.

.....

THE

Alaskan Boundary

By Hon. JOHN W. FOSTER

Ex-Secretary of State, of the Joint High Commission, and Counsel of the United States in the Present Alaskan Boundary Commission

With Twelve Maps

The most authoritative presentation of the Alaskan boundary dispute that has ever been issued. Published in the National Geographic Magazine, November, 1899.

By mail for Twenty-five cents

NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC MAGAZINE

Corcoran Building Washington, D. C.

SPECIAL MAPS PUBLISHED BY THE NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SOCIETY

Map of the Philippines (5 feet 2 inches x 3 feet).
Prepared under the direction of the War Department.

Map of South Africa (46 x 33 inches).
Prepared under the direction of the War Department.

Map of Northeastern China (36 x 28 inches).
Prepared under the direction of the War Department.

Map of the Chinese Empire, Japan, and the Russian-Manchurian Railway (11 x 7½ inches).

Map of Alaska (28 x 24 inches).
Prepared under the direction of the U. S. Geological Survey.

A Series of Twelve Maps on the Alaskan Boundary Dispute.
Prepared under the direction of Hon. John W. Foster, ex-Secretary of State.

Chart of the World on Mercator's Projection (45 x 27 inches).
Prepared under the direction of the Hydrographic Office.

Map of Cuba (16 x 7½ inches).
Prepared under the direction of Robert T. Hill.

A Series of Twenty-five Full-page Charts, showing storm tracks and methods of weather forecasting.
Prepared under the direction of Dr. Willis L. Moore, Chief U. S. Weather Bureau.

By Mail for Twenty-five Cents Each.

NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SOCIETY,
CORCORAN BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D. C.

KODAKS, CAMERAS
AND PHOTOGRAPHIC SUPPLIES

AMATEUR photography has reached such a degree towards perfection as to rival the professional art, and, keeping pace with its progress, we have opened a special department of KODAKS, CAMERAS, and PHOTOGRAPHIC SUPPLIES, and arranged a dark room where amateurs may develop their pictures in the approved manner and in all the fashionable tones.

Complete lines of Century, Poco, Premo, and Cycle Plate Cameras; Brownie, Flexo, Bull's-eye, Bullet, Stereo, Panorama, and Folding Pocket-film Kodaks; Developing Machines; Papers, Trays, Printing Frames, Rollers, Plates, Films, Mounts, Solutions, Hypo, Lamps, Flash Cartridges and Powders, Paste, Graduates, Tripods, etc.

Brownie Kodaks, No. 1	$ 1.00	Poco-A Cameras, 4x5	$ 9.90
Flexo Kodaks, No. 2	5.00	Pony Premo-B Cameras, 4x5	14.40
Bull's-eye Kodaks, No. 2	8.00	Pony Premo Cameras, No. 5; 4x5	22.50
Folding Pocket Kodaks	6.00	Plate Racks	15c
Century Petite Cameras	11.25	Printing Frames	10c and 15c
Century Cameras, model 20; 4x5	11.25	Brownie Printing Outfits	75c
Century Cameras, model 20; 4x5	14.40	Hypo, pkge.	5c

WOODWARD & LOTHROP
NEW YORK WASHINGTON PARIS

PRESS OF JUDD & DETWEILER, WASHINGTON, D. C.